



Biofuel sustainability: case studies and lessons from Asia, Latin America and Africa.
- Excerpts from findings

Ackom, Emmanuel

Publication date:
2013

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Ackom, E. (2013). *Biofuel sustainability: case studies and lessons from Asia, Latin America and Africa. - Excerpts from findings*. Paper presented at International Conference on Energy Access and Climate Finance, Enschede, Netherlands.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Biofuel Sustainability: Case studies and lessons from Asia, Latin America & Africa

- Excerpts from findings




Emmanuel Ackom

GNESD Secretariat, Twente, 26th March. 2013

Definitions

- The work focused on **Liquid biofuels**
- IEA definition of Liquid Biofuels used in the study:
Biofuels classified either as ***conventional*** or ***advanced*** based on *level of maturity*
- Conventional biofuel technologies= well established processes and biofuel being produced on commercial scale. Commonly referred to as 1st Generation. E.g. sugar based ethanol, starch based ethanol, oil crop based biodiesel and straight vegetable oil
- Advanced biofuel technologies = processes that are still in R&D, pilot or demonstration phase. Usually referred to as 2nd and 3rd Generation. Eg. biofuels from lignocellulosic biomass i.e. cellulose ethanol, biomass-to-liquids diesel, algae based biofuels.



(Adapted from Ceres Ventures 2007
by IEA Task 39)

Need for policy support for 2nd generation bioethanol

Major ENVIRONMENTAL criteria

- Net GHG balances
- Land use change (direct & indirect)
- Net energy balances
- Water (use and consumption)
- Biodiversity
- Soil quality & health
- Pollution (air, water, soil) – responsible use of chemicals
-

Major SOCIAL principle & criteria

- Avoidance of competition with food
- Consultation & communication with local communities
- Biofuel production shall not take place on contested lands
- Compliance with national laws and ratified international laws on employment conditions and workers' rights
- Fair wages and compensations
- Employees are provided with fair legal contracts
- Workers are informed about their rights
- Proper subcontracting
- Working hours are not excessive
- Freedom of association and right to collective bargaining
- No child nor forced labour
- Health and safety
- Plantations, mills, distilleries should deal fairly with smallholders and local stakeholders

GNESD centres involved & studied countries



Thailand



CENBIO/CentroClima

Brazil



Energy, Environment, Development

Senegal



AFREPREN/FWD
Energy, Environment and
Development Network for Africa

Kenya



Argentina


Different aspects of Biofuel Sustainability in the 5 countries

Country	Investigated topic
Thailand	Assessment of Thailand's liquid biofuel development
Brazil	Environmental zoning as a policy tool to increase the sustainability of sugarcane ethanol production in Brazil
Senegal	Policy considerations for biofuel implementation: A case study of <i>Jatropha curcas</i> in Senegal
Kenya	Potential of liquid biofuels in Kenya
Argentina	Sustainability indicators for biofuels in Argentina

Assessment of Thailand's liquid biofuel development


- This paper provides an assessment of ethanol and biodiesel development in Thailand
 - type of feedstock being employed
 - production trends
 - planned targets and blending mandates
 - policies i.e. tax schemes
 - environmental and social sustainability considerations

Thailand - a key player in liquid biofuel production in Asia




Thailand

– major feedstocks for biofuel production



Thailand

Policy –Alternative Energy Dev't Plan (AEDP) 2012-2021



Thailand

2nd Gen biofuel potential from agricultural residues (2010) - GNESD

Crop	Residue	Prod	RPR	Res.	Sustain. Res.	Biochem. EtoH (low)	Thermo-Biodiesel
	type	(tonnes)		dry wt.	20% extraction	hydro. & ferm. (low) (litres)	F-T (low) (litres)
Maize	Stalk	4.5E+06	1.5	5.7E+06	1.1E+06	1.3E+08	8.5E+07
Rice	Straw	3.2E+07	1.5	4.0E+07	8.1E+06	8.9E+08	6.0E+08
Sorghum	Stalk	5.4E+04	2.62	1.2E+05	2.4E+04	2.7E+06	1.8E+06
Sugarcane	Bagasse	6.9E+07	0.3	5.2E+06	1.0E+06	1.1E+08	7.7E+07
Wheat	Straw	1.1E+03	1.2	1.1E+03	2.2E+02	2.5E+04	1.7E+04
Cocoa	Pods, husk	7.6E+02	1.0	6.5E+02	1.3E+02	1.4E+04	9.7E+03
Coconut	Husk	1.3E+06	0.6	7.0E+05	1.4E+05	1.5E+07	1.1E+07
Coffee	Husk	4.9E+04	2.1	8.7E+04	1.8E+04	1.9E+06	1.1E+06
Total					1.0E+07	1.1E+09	7.8E+08


Thailand – key messages

- Biofuels in Thailand – *remains a ‘double edge sword’*
 - On the one hand well documented benefits been reported for Thailand’s biofuels i.e. increased job creation, reduction of transportation fuel imports, increased GDP contribution etc.
 - On the other hand however, there are land use change issues as well as vulnerability to food access concerns for Thai’s poor
 - Biofuel production will continue to rise in Thailand due in part to the AEDP
 - 2nd Gen. biofuel from agricultural residues & wastes recommended as providing preferred opportunities with low sustainability risks
 - However, considerable investment in 2nd Gen R&D, demonstration and pilot facilities will be required.

Environmental zoning as a policy tool to increase the sustainability of sugarcane ethanol in Brazil

- This paper investigates and drawn lessons from Brazil's novel nationwide environmental zoning of sugarcane production in 2009:
 - This resulted from the experience & effort by the 2 largest sugarcane states in Brazil namely:
 - Minas Gerais (in 2007)*
 - Sao Paulo (in 2008)*

Agro-ecological sugarcane production



Key Criteria:

Environmental aspects, technological potential and productivity

Exclusion of pristine ecosystem i.e. *Amazon and Pantanal biomes, Upper Paraguay River Basin.*

Avoiding conflict with food production

Areas without need for full irrigation

Degraded pastures

Brazil

2nd Gen biofuel potential from agricultural residues (2010) - GNESD

Crop	Residue	Prod	RPR	Res.	Sustain. Res.	Biochem. EtoH (low)	Thermo- Biodiesel
	type	(tonnes)		dry wt.	20% extraction	hydro. & ferm. (low) (litres)	F-T (low) (litres)
Maize	Stalk	5.5E+07	1.5	7.1E+07	2.2E+08	1.6E+09	1.1E+09
Rice	Straw	1.1E+07	1.5	1.4E+07	2.9E+06	3.2E+08	2.2E+08
Sorghum	Stalk	1.5E+06	2.62	3.4E+06	6.8E+05	7.5E+07	5.1E+07
Wheat	Straw	6.2E+06	2.0	1.0E+07	2.1E+06	2.3E+08	1.6E+08
Coffee	Husk	2.9E+06	2.1	5.2E+06	1.0E+06	1.1E+08	7.8E+07
Oats	Straw	4.0E+05	2.0	6.7E+05	1.3E+05	1.5E+07	1.0E+07
<i>Sugarcane</i>	<i>Bagasse</i>	<i>7.2E+08</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>5.4E+07</i>	<i>1.1E+07</i>	<i>1.2E+09</i>	<i>8.1E+08</i>
Total					2.1E+07	2.3E+09	1.6E+09

Brazil – key messages

- Agro-ecological zonings - a likely baseline for issuing permits/license for energy crop production in Brazil
- Brazil's experience with agro-ecological zonings provides valuable lessons for consideration and learning in other developing countries. E.g. COGEN Africa (with UNEP, GEF and AfDB).
- Agro-ecological zonings avoids competition of land from food and fuel purposes, as well as the prevention of the use of pristine ecosystems for biofuel production
- The Brazilian experience shows how policies could be effectively be applied in a complementary fashion to achieve maximum benefits. E.g. coupling command and control (i.e. zoning laws) with use of economic incentives (i.e. public development banks, international dev't agencies)

Policy considerations for biofuel implementation. A case study of *Jatropha Curcas* in Senegal

- This paper reviews the NPJ progress, provides information on policies and institutions shaping biofuels in Senegal
- It also investigates Senegal's agro-environmental mapping exercise for bioenergy crops.

2nd Gen biofuel from agricultural residues


Crop	Residue	Prod	RPR	Res.	Sustain. Res.	Biochem. EtoH	Thermo- Biodiesel
	type	(tonnes)		dry wt.	20% extraction	hydro. & ferm. (low) (litres)	F-T (low) (litres)
Maize	Stalk	1.9E+05	1.5	2.8E+05	5.6E+04	6.2E+06	4.2E+06
Millet	Stalk	8.1E+05	3.0	2.4E+06	4.9E+05	5.4E+07	3.7E+07
Rice	Straw	6.0E+05	1.5	9.1E+05	1.8E+05	2.0E+07	1.4E+07
Sorghum	Stalk	1.6E+05	2.62	4.3E+05	8.5E+04	9.4E+06	6.4E+06
Sugarcane	Bagasse	8.5E+05	0,3	2.6E+05	5.1E+04	5.6E+06	3.8E+06
Coconut	Husk	5.1E+03	0.6	3.1E+03	6.1E+02	6.7E+04	4.6E+04
Total					8.6E+05	9.5E+07	6.5E+07

Senegal – key messages

- The mapping process lead to some very interesting findings beyond what policy makers had anticipated. For e.g. it was found out from the mapping exercise that 3-5 million ha exist for Jatropha compared to NJP otherwise thought ambitious target of 321,000 ha.
- Lack of scientific rigour pertaining to the choice of Jatropha by policy makers as the selected bioenergy crop compared to other candidates
- The mapping avoids competition of land from food and fuel purposes, as well as the prevention of the use of pristine ecosystems for biofuel production
- Lack of coordination at the local level involving private initiatives and NJP teams. Additionally, streamlining national institutional roles in bioenergy.
- Effective implementation strategy having clear principles & conditions for processing, distribution and use of the biodiesel is required.

Potential for liquid biofuels in Kenya

- Key driving factors for Kenya's interest in biofuels
 - 25% of import bill goes to vehicular transportation fuel
 - Rising fuel cost
 - increase in no. of vehicles



2nd Gen biofuel from agricultural residues

Crop	Residue	Prod	RPR	Res.	Sustain. Res.	Biochem. EtOH	Thermo-Biodiesel
	type	(tonnes)		dry wt.	20% extraction	hydro. & ferm. (low) (litres)	F-T (low) (litres)
Maize	Stalk	3.2E+06	1.5	4.1E+06	8.2E+05	9.0E+07	6.2E+07
Millet	Stalk	5.4E+04	3.0	1.4E+05	2.8E+04	3.0E+06	2.1E+06
Rice	Straw	8.0E+04	1.5	1.0E+05	2.0E+04	2.3E+06	1.5E+06
Sorghum	Stalk	1.6E+05	2.62	3.7E+05	7.3E+04	8.0E+06	5.5E+06
Wheat	Straw	5.1E+05	1.2	5.2E+05	1.0E+05	1.2E+07	7.8E+06
Coconut	Husk	4.4E+04	0.6	4.0+E04	7.8E+03	8.6E+05	5.9E+05
Barley	Straw	6,4E+04	1.7	9.3E+04	1.9E+04	2.0E+06	1.4E+06
Sugarcane	Bagasse	5.7E+06	0.3	4.3E+05	8.6E+04	9.4E+06	6.4E+06
Total					1.2E+06	1.3E+08	8.7E+07

Kenya – Key messages

- The need for agro-ecological mapping of areas for bioenergy cultivation
- Enforcement of mandatory blending is essential as it help eliminate uncertainty among biofuel investors
- The need to tap into international and regional financing facilities and initiatives
- Establishment of a national registry of feedstock availability, processing facilities and up-take in order to effectively avoid the mismatch between supply and demand
- Development of a regional and national biofuel standards and certification requirements that harmonizes with global standards .
- 2nd Gen. biofuel from agricultural residues & wastes recommended

Sustainability indicators for biofuels in Argentina

- This paper examine trends in selected indicators associated with biofuels in Argentina:
 - GHG emissions
 - Land use
 - Glyphosphate use
 - Soil quality
 - Rural development

2nd Gen biofuel from agricultural residues

Crop	Residue	Prod	RPR	Res.	Sustain. Res.	Biochem. EtoH	Thermo- Biodiesel
	type	(tonnes)		dry wt.	20% extraction	hydro. & ferm. (low) (litres)	F-T (low) (litres)
Maize	Stalk	2.3E+07	1.5	2.9E+07	5.8E+06	6.4E+08	4.3E+08
Rice	Straw	1.2E+06	1.5	1.6E+06	3.2E+05	3.5E+07	2.4E+07
Sorghum	Stalk	3.6E+06	2.62	8.1E+06	1.6E+06	1.8E+08	1.2E+08
<i>Sugarcane</i>	<i>Bagasse</i>	<i>2.5E+07</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>9.4E+05</i>	<i>3.8E+05</i>	<i>4.1E+07</i>	<i>2.8E+07</i>
Wheat	Straw	1.5E+07	1.2	1.5E+07	3.0E+06	3.4E+08	2.3E+08
Barley	Straw	3.0E+06	1.7	4.3E+06	8.6E+05	9.5E+07	6.5E+07
Oats	Straw	6.6E+05	2.0	1.1E+06	2.2E+05	2.5E+07	1.7E+07
Rye	Straw	5.0E+04	2.0	7.6E+04	1.5E+04	1.7E+06	1.1E+06
Total					1.2E+07	1.3E+09	8.9E+08

Argentina – key messages

- Biofuels in Argentina from soybean – also *remains a 'double edge sword'*
 - On the one hand earnings from soybean exports have revitalized some agricultural communities ie. Santa Fe, Cordoba and Buenos Aires. On the other hand there has been displacement of rural populations due to increased mechanization of agricultural practices. Also displacement of other crops and traditional animal husbandary
 - Glyphosphate use in soybean cultivation has intensified resulting in increased environmental and health concerns
 - Emphasizes the need for careful analysis on both positive and negative impacts of biofuels as the basis for policy formulation and implementation.
 - The paper recommends land use planning and zoning for bioenergy cultivation nationally.

Possible Financing Options

Africa

- AfDB - CECAFA
- AfDB-CEIF
- AfDB-I LOC
- AfDB-I ALC
- ECOWAS-EBID
- ECOWAS
(African Biofuels
& RE Fund)
- West Africa Dev't
Bank

Asia

- ADB (Asian
Development
Fund)
- ADB –CMI
- ADB-
EEI/CEFPF
- ADB – REACH
- ADB-STI

Americas

- IDB
Infrastructure
Fund (InfraFund)
- IDB – SECCI
Funds

Thank you very much

I welcome your questions and comments

Emmanuel Ackom, UNEP Risø Centre
email: emac@dtu.dk